

STATE OF MICHIGAN JUDICIAL DISTRICT 13th JUDICIAL CIRCUIT LEELANAU COUNTY	SUMMONS	CASE NUMBER 2026- -
--	----------------	--

Court address 8527 E. Government Center Drive, Suttons Bay, MI 49682	Court telephone number 231-256-9803
--	---

Plaintiff's name, address, and telephone number LEE TROUTMAN CORY	
Plaintiff's attorney bar number, address, and telephone number Lawrence J. Acker (P28123) THE ACKER LAW FIRM, PLLC P.O. Box 892 Traverse City, MI 49685	231-421-2392

v

Defendant's name, address, and telephone number CLINT MITCHELL

Instructions: Check the items below that apply to you and provide any required information. Submit this form to the court clerk along with your complaint and, if necessary, a case inventory addendum (MC 21). The summons section will be completed by the court clerk.

Domestic Relations Case

- ☐ There are no pending or resolved cases within the jurisdiction of the family division of the circuit court involving the family or family members of the person(s) who are the subject of the complaint.
- ☐ There is one or more pending or resolved cases within the jurisdiction of the family division of the circuit court involving the family or family members of the person(s) who are the subject of the complaint. I have separately filed a completed confidential case inventory (MC 21) listing those cases.
- ☐ It is unknown if there are pending or resolved cases within the jurisdiction of the family division of the circuit court involving the family or family members of the person(s) who are the subject of the complaint.

Civil Case

- ☐ This is a business case in which all or part of the action includes a business or commercial dispute under MCL 600.8035.
- ☐ MDHHS and a contracted health plan may have a right to recover expenses in this case. I certify that notice and a copy of the complaint will be provided to MDHHS and (if applicable) the contracted health plan in accordance with MCL 400.106(4).
- ☒ There is no other pending or resolved civil action arising out of the same transaction or occurrence as alleged in the complaint.
- ☐ A civil action between these parties or other parties arising out of the transaction or occurrence alleged in the complaint has

been previously filed in ☐ this court, ☐ _____ Court, where

it was given case number _____ and assigned to Judge _____

The action ☐ remains ☐ is no longer pending.

Summons section completed by court clerk.

SUMMONS

NOTICE TO THE DEFENDANT: In the name of the people of the State of Michigan you are notified:

1. You are being sued.
2. **YOU HAVE 21 DAYS** after receiving this summons and a copy of the complaint to **file a written answer with the court** and serve a copy on the other party **or take other lawful action with the court** (28 days if you were served by mail or you were served outside of Michigan).
3. If you do not answer or take other action within the time allowed, judgment may be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
4. If you require accommodations to use the court because of a disability or if you require a foreign language interpreter to help you fully participate in court proceedings, please contact the court immediately to make arrangements.

Issue date	Expiration date*	Court clerk
------------	------------------	-------------

*This summons is invalid unless served on or before its expiration date. This document must be sealed by the seal of the court.

PROOF OF SERVICE

TO PROCESS SERVER: You must serve the summons and complaint and file proof of service with the court clerk before the expiration date on the summons. If you are unable to complete service, you must return this original and all copies to the court clerk.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE / NONSERVICE

- ☐ I served ☐ personally ☐ by registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, and delivery restricted to the addressee (copy of return receipt attached) a copy of the summons and the complaint, together with the attachments listed below, on:
- ☐ I have attempted to serve a copy of the summons and complaint, together with the attachments listed below, and have been unable to complete service on:

Name	Date and time of service
Place or address of service	
Attachments (if any)	

- ☐ I am a sheriff, deputy sheriff, bailiff, appointed court officer or attorney for a party.
- ☐ I am a legally competent adult who is not a party or an officer of a corporate party. I declare under the penalties of perjury that this certificate of service has been examined by me and that its contents are true to the best of my information, knowledge, and belief.

Service fee \$	Miles traveled	Fee \$	
Incorrect address fee \$	Miles traveled	Fee \$	TOTAL FEE \$

Signature _____

Name (type or print) _____

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF SERVICE

I acknowledge that I have received service of a copy of the summons and complaint, together with

Attachments (if any) _____ on _____
Date and time

Signature _____ on behalf of _____

Name (type or print) _____

STATE OF MICHIGAN

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF LEELANAU COUNTY

LEE TROUTMAN CORY,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 26-
HON.

-CZ

vs.

CLINT MITCHELL,

Defendant.

LAWRENCE J. ACKER (P28123)
Attorney for Plaintiff
THE ACKER LAW FIRM, PLLC
P.O. Box 892
Traverse City, MI 49685
231.421.2392
E: L.Acker@AckerPC.com

COMPLAINT SEEKING WRIT OF MANDAMUS AND EXPEDITED SHOW CAUSE HEARING

There is no other pending or resolved civil action arising out of the same transaction or occurrence as alleged in the Complaint between these parties.

Lawrence J. Acker (P28123)

NOW COMES Plaintiff herein, LEE TROUTMAN CORY by and through her counsel, THE ACKER LAW FIRM, PLLC, and for her Complaint against the Defendant, states as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. Plaintiff LEE TROUTMAN CORY is a resident of this county, maintaining a residence in Leland.
2. Plaintiff Lee Troutman Cory currently serves as the duly appointed Chairman of the Leland Township Planning Commission.

3. Defendant CLINT MITCHELL is currently serving as the Supervisor of the Leland Township Board of Trustees.

4. Mitchell is sued in both his official, and his individual capacity.

5. This Complaint is governed by MCR 3.301, MCR 3.305, and statutory provisions that are cited herein, in the balance of the Complaint.

6. This Complaint is accompanied by an Affidavit in support of the request for the Writ of Mandamus, drafted and executed by Plaintiff Lee Troutman Cory.

7. Jurisdiction is governed by MCR 3.301(A)(1)(C) and MCR 3.305(A)(2) and this matter is properly before this Circuit Court.

8. Venue is proper under MCR 3.302(D)(2) and MCR 3.305(B)(1) and is properly before this Circuit Court.

9. Under MCR 3.305(C) the request for an Order to Show Cause is properly brought before this Circuit Court.

10. The factual circumstances and the Affidavit, with exhibits to be described below, establish that plaintiff Lee Troutman Cory is entitled to, and is filing in accordance with, all of the statutory requirements for Mandamus.

These elements include:

(a) a clear legal duty is owed by Defendant Mitchell which is absolute, ministerial, and nondiscretionary under MCL 125.3815(2).

(b) Defendant Mitchell owes a clear duty to confirm Plaintiff's clear legal right to continue her service as Chairman of the Leland Township Planning Commission through and inclusive of December 2026.

(c) There is no other adequate remedy, in the face of threatening behavior by defendant and a refusal to acknowledge, respect, or respond to inquiries on a topic that was raised at the most recent Planning Commission meeting by Mitchell's appointee Scales.

(d) The confirmation of Membership and Chairmanship appointment and confirmation through December 2026 does not require professional judgment, or discretion. It is mandated by the applicable statute found at MCL 125.3815(2).

11. This Complaint is accompanied by an Affidavit of the Plaintiff, a proposed ex-parte Show Cause Order, and a request for a hearing to resolve the issue forthwith.

12. Refusal by defendant Mitchell to reconfirm the appointment of the Chairman to the Planning Commission through and inclusive of December 2026 will cause irreparable harm to the plaintiff, to those citizens who serve with her on the Planning Commission, and to the local community.

FACTUAL SUMMARY

13. Plaintiff Lee Troutman Cory is a resident of the Township of Leland, County of Leelanau.

14. Plaintiff is the current Chairman of the Leland Township Planning Commission, having been appointed to that position in December 2023.

15. Under the provisions of MCL 125. 3815(2) the term to which the Chairman was appointed is a three-year term.

16. Under the provisions of MCL 125.3815(2), the term to which the Chairman was appointed was not intended nor legally permissible under this statute to be for less than a three-year term. The only exception that the statute recognizes for a truncated, or shortened, term include circumstances where the appointment was less than three years in order to (a) fill a vacancy or (b) accomplish the objective of rotating terms so that all members of the Planning Commission do not expire at the same year end.

17. At a Planning Commission meeting which occurred on Wednesday evening July 1, 2026, Planning Commission appointee Stephen Scales identified what he termed to be an "agenda item clarification".

18. Acting as a spokesperson for defendant Mitchell, Scales then proceeded to take issue with the agenda labeling for the terms on the Planning Commission seats held by Lee Troutman Cory and fellow Planning Commission Member Brian Fenlon.

19. Scales continued to report that by the interpretation he was offering for himself and on behalf of defendant Mitchell, the Chairman's term would expire in August 2026, in accordance with Minutes of a meeting in December 2023, confirming the Chairman's appointment as a Member of the Commission.

20. The record that Scales relied upon is erroneous. The statutory requirement of a mandatory three-year term was not fully understood by the appointing membership of the Township Board in 2023. In subsequent Planning Commission meetings, the three-year term was affirmed in accordance with the statutory requirements and began to appear on the agenda with the properly designated term through December 2026. It has been reported on the Planning Commission agenda properly for more than a year.

21. At the July 1 meeting Scales was challenged by both Brian Fenlon and Chairman Cory for his inaccurate recitation. Both Planning Commission members pointed out to Scales that the agenda item he was attempting to correct had been consistently and properly reported for numerous meetings and over a span of years.

22. On information and belief, which can be proven at the hearing, Scales is a disgruntled member of the Planning Commission, having voted on a recent application before the Planning Commission in on April 15, 2026, against his fellow Commission members on a consistent vote of four(4) majority to one(1) Scales.

23. Chairman Cory immediately communicated to Scales her correction to his erroneous statements on July 1, 2026.

24. The following day, on July 2, 2026, Chairman Cory wrote to Defendant Mitchell, and identified for Mitchell the fact that Mr. Scales' assertion of a term expiration in August of 2026 rather than December 2026 is incorrect. Chairman Cory cited the Michigan Planning Commission act of 2008 [MCL 125.3815(2)].

25. In the communication with Defendant Mitchell, the Chairman correctly pointed out that her appointment on December 11, 2023, was not for a shortened or incomplete term. She also indicated that she was not appointed in December 2023 to fill an unexpired vacancy, and that none of the exceptions recited in the statute apply to her Planning Commission service.

26. In the communication with Defendant Mitchell, the Chairman further pointed out that the agenda appearing on Planning Commission stationary for multiple years, at all meetings, has reflected the accuracy of her term expiration date in December 2026.

27. In a request to resolve the confusion Scales had attempted to establish, Chairman Cory requested that the issue of her confirmation through December 2006 be presented at the Township Board meeting scheduled for Monday, July 13, 2026, for clarification to the public.

28. The Chairman also pointed out, in view of Scales' behavior at the meeting of April 15, 2026, that the actions of Mitchell and Scales, for a variety of reasons that will be confirmed at the scheduled hearing, constitute an effort to exact retribution, a disservice to the community, and an effort to precipitously remove Chairman Cory from the Commission.

29. Plaintiff further identifies for the court that the statute cited at MCL 125.3815(2) provides that "members of a Planning Commission other than ex officio members... shall be appointed for three-year terms.....and... a member shall hold office until his successor is appointed."

30. No successor has been nominated, identified, or appointed because such action would be contrary to the three-year term requirement.

31. Chairman Cory is not a "holdover" Planning Commission member. Her term does not expire until December 2026.

32. Subsequently, in a second e-mail communication, dated July 6, 2026, directed defendant Mitchell, Chairman Cory pointed out that the matter was not discretionary, that the former Chairman who appointed plaintiff in December 2023 will provide testimony in

support of the continuation of the term through December 2026, and correctly identified the applicable statutes.

33. In the second e-mail communication dated July 6, 2026, Chairman Cory renewed her request for clarification of this issue at the Township Board meeting schedule for July 13, 2026, and requesting action by the Board in recognition of the Planning Commission Chairman's term through and inclusive of December 2026.

34. As of the filing date, defendant Mitchell has taken no action to remedy his confusion on this issue, has not responded in writing, has not placed the Chairman's term on the Township Board agenda for July 13, 2026, and otherwise given every indication that he plans to adhere to the flawed argument advanced by Scales.

35. Defendant Mitchell's retributive motives were on display at a meeting of the public, when the Township Board met and discussed a full agenda of matters on June 8, 2026 during public comment session.

36. The Supervisor's potential undue influence in matters involving the Planning Commission and its previous legal counsel, were addressed by members of the Public, and through FOIA collection Mitchell's undue influence over the former Township attorney were exposed.

37. This retribution followed.

38. Scales and Mitchell are both intent upon altering the status quo membership of the Planning Commission.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for the following relief:

- A. immediate entry of the proposed ex-parte Show Cause Order attached to this Complaint;
- B. Confirmation by issuance of an Order that the correction needed to the record of Planning Commission term dates for all members are affirmed so that the Planning Commission continues to function in the ordinary course of business through December 2026, with Plaintiff Cory as Chairman;
- C. An order compelling defendant Mitchell to enter on the record at a public meeting reconfirmation that the Chairman's term continues through December 2026.

Plaintiff's Affidavit and exhibits confirm, and this Court should find that:

- (1) The Plaintiff has a clear legal right to performance of the specific duty sought from Mitchell;
- (2) That defendant has a clear legal duty to perform and confirm the continuation of the term through December 2026 having authorized his representative to create confusion on the public record;
- (3) That the required action by Supervisor Mitchell is ministerial and statutorily mandated; and
- (4) That in the refusal of the defendant to take appropriate action there is no other adequate legal or equitable remedy to achieve the same result.

Respectfully Submitted,
THE ACKER LAW FIRM, PLLC

Dated: July 10, 2026

By: _____
LAWRENCE J. ACKER (P28123)
Attorney for Plaintiff
P.O. Box 892
Traverse City, MI 49685
231.421.2392

EXHIBIT 1 TO COMPLAINT

From: Clint Mitchell <clintmleland@gmail.com>
Date: July 2, 2026 at 3:55:28 PM EDT
To: Lee Cory <lcory31@gmail.com>
Cc: Leland Clerk <Clerk@lelandtownship.com>
Subject: Re: Lee Cory's Term on the Planning Commission

The township's records indicate your term expires in August. I will take your request under advisement.

On Thursday, July 2, 2026, Lee Cory <lcory31@gmail.com> wrote:
Dear Supervisor Mitchell,

I am writing to address an issue raised by your appointee, Steve Scales, during last night's Planning Commission meeting regarding the expiration of my term. Mr. Scales asserted that my term expires this August rather than in December 2026. This was unexpected, as it has been my understanding since my appointment in December 2023 that I was appointed in accordance with Michigan Planning Commission Act of 2008, Section 15 (2), to a 3-year term beginning in December 2023 and ending in December 2026.

While Mr. Scales pointed out that the December 11, 2023, Township Board minutes reportedly list an August 2026 expiration, I was never notified of a truncated term of 2 years and 7 months. Furthermore, all subsequent agendas and minutes have consistently listed my term as expiring in December 2026. It is concerning that this discrepancy was only raised now, following my public comments regarding ex-parte communications. The timing suggests retribution and a potential attempt to precipitously remove me from the Commission. I trust that is not the case.

As a matter of law, the Michigan Planning Enabling Act of 2008, Section 15 (2), mandates three-year terms for Planning Commission members. The narrow exceptions for staggering terms of 1 or 2 full years or filling unexpired vacancies do not apply to my appointment. According to former Supervisor Och, at the time of my appointment the Township Board wanted to coordinate all Planning Commissioners' terms to end in August of various years. To accomplish this goal, the Township inadvertently violated Michigan law by assigning some shortened terms. Former Supervisor Och stated that had the Township been aware of the mandated 3-year requirement, "they would have done things differently." To add to the confusion, we now have some terms ending in August and some ending in December, a situation that does not lend itself to smooth transitions.

In any event, as I had expected to serve until the end of this year, I remain fully committed to serving the remainder of what should be a three-year term through December 2026. To resolve this administrative oversight and align with the spirit of the law, I propose that the Board re-appoint me at the July meeting to serve from August through December. I therefore respectfully request that this issue be included on the agenda for the Township Board meeting on Monday, July 13, 2026.

I look forward to your response.

Very truly yours,
Lee Troutman Cory

Chair, Leland Planning Commission
Attorney at Law
lcory31@gmail.com

EXHIBIT 2 TO COMPLAINT

From: Lee Cory <lcory31@gmail.com>

Date: July 6, 2026 at 11:25:34 AM PDT

To: Mitchell Clint <clintmleland@gmail.com>, supervisor@lelandtownship.com

Cc: Leland Clerk <Clerk@lelandtownship.com>, Leland Treasurer <Treasurer@lelandtownship.com>, lelandtrustee@lelandtownship.com, stevescales7@gmail.com

Subject: Correction Required to Planning Commission Term of Appointment - Agenda Item for Board Meeting July 13, 2026

Supervisor Mitchell,

As you know, at the Planning Commission meeting on July 1, 2026, Leland Township trustee and Planning Commission appointee Steve Scales unexpectedly announced that, under the auspices of correcting the agenda, he had undertaken the unusual task of going back through years of Township Board minutes to find the expiration dates of our Planning Commissioners' terms. He then announced that according to the minutes of December 2023, my term ends in August, rather than December, 2026. The framing of this announcement seemed to be designed as a "gotcha" moment directed at me.

As I explained to Mr. Scales at the time, and to you in my email of July 2, this was news to me for several reasons. When I was appointed, I was aware that Michigan law mandated a 3-year term, except in the case of two exceptions which were not applicable to my appointment. Accordingly, then Planning Commission Chair Ross Satterwhite advised me that my term was for 3 years. I therefore committed in good faith to a 3-year term and remain committed to serving a full 3-year term through December 2026. Furthermore, since the date of my appointment, every official Township document has listed the end of my term as December 2026. No one other than Mr. Scales has ever challenged this.

While Mr. Scales researched Township Board minutes, he apparently failed to research the law. In my July 2, 2026 email, I notified you that appointing me for a term of less than 3 years was inconsistent with the Michigan Planning Enabling Act, specifically MCL 125.3815(2). I also outlined the procedure under Michigan law for correcting this discrepancy. As I noted, the appropriate remedy is to reappoint me for the statutorily mandated 3-year term at your next Township Board meeting, rather than shortening my term to end in August as Mr. Scales erroneously insisted.

I also discussed this issue at length with former Planning Commission Chair Satterwhite, who asked me to serve, and with former Township Supervisor Och, who appointed me. Ms. Och agreed that appointing me to a truncated term was in fact a mistake, because she and the Township Board were unaware at the time that Michigan law mandated a 3-year term. She also agreed that the mistake should be corrected as Michigan law provides. Ms. Och offered to provide an affidavit on this subject if necessary.

Your reply to my email stated that you would take this issue under advisement. This suggests an assumption that you and the Township Board have discretion in this matter,

and that you have the authority to unilaterally decide whether to place it on the Township agenda. That is not the case.

On the contrary, because state law governs the term of appointment, the Township Board does not have discretion to leave this discrepancy uncorrected. Under Michigan law, this matter should be placed on the agenda for the Board's next meeting, with a clarifying resolution adopted to confirm the term of my appointment as a full 3 years, consistent with MCL125.3815(2).

Therefore, I trust that a resolution reappointing me to the full statutory 3-year term will be placed on the agenda for the Township Board's meeting on Monday, July 13, 2026. In the meantime, I remain committed to serving my statutorily mandated 3-year term.

Kind regards,

Lee

Lee Troutman Cory
Attorney At Law
Lcory31@gmail.com
502-417-6952

EXHIBIT 3 TO COMPLAINT

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF LEEANAU COUNTY

Case No. 26-
HON.

Defendant.

LAWRENCE J. ACKER (P28123) Attorney for Plaintiff THE ACKER LAW FIRM, PLLC P.O. Box 892 Traverse City, MI 49685 231.421.2392 E: L.Acker@AckerPC.com	
--	--

STATE OF _____)
) ss.
COUNTY OF _____)

- 1

6. I am currently serving as the Chairman, after Ross Satterwhite resigned from the position in late 2025.

7. I am serving a "regular" three-year term as a Planning Commission member, consistent with statutory authority.

8. I was appointed to this Planning Commission as a Member of the Planning Commission in December 2023.

9. I was appointed to serve through December of 2026.

10. My appointment was not in relationship to a position vacated by someone else during midterm.

11. My appointment was not intended to be a truncated or shortened position.

12. My appointment was not intended to be a one year or two year appointment to accommodate a rotating schedule under statutory descriptions of staggered three-year terms.

13. I have been serving as the Chairman of the Commission during a recent application for a SLUP by an organization which was attempting to utilize C-1 zoned commercial property in Leland for an alternative purpose.

14. The Planning Commission effort in this regard was a matter of significant local concern, with numerous community members attending hearings, and various news and media outlets furnishing the public with information.

15. One of the Planning Commission members, Mr. Steven Scales, was reprimanded by local citizens and local attorneys, on more than one occasion during the hearings for potential Open Meetings Act misconduct.

16. I did not have personal knowledge of the potential Open Meetings Act violations and, therefore, did not bring Mr. Scales' potential disqualification to a vote of the Planning Commission membership.

17. At a meeting in February of 2026, the Planning Commission, on a vote of four to one, determined that it could not accept a report from a Township engaged attorney for the reason that the academic work was incomplete, inconsistent with statutory requirements, and could not be acted upon by the Planning Commission.

18. I have subsequently learned that the poor-quality efforts of the Township attorney in question was potentially the subject of undue influence by Supervisor Mitchell.

19. At a hearing conducted on April 15 2026, at which I served as Chairperson, the application for the SLUP in the C1 commercial district was Denied.

20. I have reason to believe that both Mr. Scales and Mr. Mitchell were disgruntled with the outcome and have since made their dissatisfaction known to others in the community.

21. On July 1, 2026, on a matter that had not been identified or raised with other Planning Commission members by Mr. Scales, the Township designee to the Planning Commission, took issue with the agenda item which reports the service termination dates of each member of the Planning Commission.

22. Acting in concert with Mitchell as he stated, Mr. Scales took issue with the end date identified for both Commission Member Brian Fenlon and Commission Chairman Lee Cory

23. Mr. Scales quickly backed down on his claim involving Mr. Fenlon.

24. Because of my concern about the integrity of my service to the Planning Commission, I wrote on July 2, 2026 to Mr. Mitchell and requested that he add an agenda item to the July 13, 2026 Township Meeting of the Board and include as an agenda item reconfirmation of my service through December 2026.

25. In a second writing on July 4, 2026, I wrote to Mr. Mitchell and identified for him the statutory basis, as well as the historical factual basis of my service for a three-year term which commenced in December 2023.

26. I have not resigned from the Planning Commission, nor do I plan to resign from the Planning Commission.

27. I have been unable to secure a spot on the Township Board agenda to air this request on July 13, 2026.

28. I have been unable to obtain reconfirmation from Mr. Mitchell that he does not intend to raise this potential disruption of my service record and my service participation between now and the conclusion of my three-year appointment anniversary date in December 2026.

29. Under the statute, this request is not discretionary with Mr. Mitchell .

30. I am concerned about continuing retributive conduct by Scales and Mitchell

31. I am an attorney by training, profession, and license.

32. I was admitted to the practice of Law in the State of Kentucky in 1981. I am also admitted in Federal Courts including USDC WDKY, and The US Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit. I practiced as a Litigation attorney with the Firm of Middleton and Reutlinger. I was also employed as in house Counsel and Corporate Secretary at Capital Holding

Corporation in Louisville, Kentucky. I have served as a community Volunteer on matters involving planning, land use, preservation and conservation in both Kentucky and Michigan. I am not licensed in Michigan and do not actively practice law in this State; I am a uniquely well qualified member of the Planning Commission and have researched Michigan law in support of that community service.

33. I am requesting a Writ of Mandamus to accomplish what Defendant Mitchell should be obligated to accomplish by virtue of his service as a public servant, and not under force of Court Order.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

Dated: July 9th, 2026

Lee Troutman Cory
LEE TROUTMAN CORY

Subscribed and sworn to before me this _____ day of _____, 2026.
Notary Public _____
_____ County, _____
My commission expires: _____

See CA Jural attached,
Karina Smith, CA Notary Public

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

State of California

County of Los Angeles

Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this 9
day of July, 2026, by Cory Lee Troutman

proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the
person(s) who appeared before me.



KARINA SMITH
Comm. # 2540162
Los Angeles County
California - Notary Public
Comm. Expires DEC. 31, 2029

(Seal)

Signature

Karina Smith